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## INTRODUCTION

This report compares your performance with the group of authorities specified on the title page. It is divided into the following sections.

|  |  | Page |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | General Information | 4 |
| 2 | Committee Support | 5 |
| 3 | School Appeals | 11 |
| 4 | Member Support | 12 |
| 5 | Civic Mayor's Office | 15 |
| 6 | Overview \& Scrutiny | 17 |

## Section 1 - General Information

In this section we provide some feedback on the contextual type information found at the start of the questionnaire regarding the make-up of council members and the type of mayor each authority has.

## Section 2 - Committee Support

The main benchmarks in this section are the cost per 1,000 population of Committee Support for 2012/13 and 2013/14.

We also feed back information on:

- number and types of meetings
- services provided by Committee Support staff
- printing
- individual decisions

The population figures used in the calculations throughout this report are taken from the ONS mid-2010 estimates.

## Section 3 - School Appeals

In this section we examine the costs involved in hearing and processing school appeals and also analyse the information regarding the ways in which appeals are resolved.

## Section 4 - Member Support

This section looks at the cost per member in terms of staff and other direct costs and the basic and special responsibility allowances.

We also look at the expenditure on SRA's in more detail.

## Section 5 - Civic Mayor's (or equivalent) Office

The main benchmark here is the cost per 1,000 population of the Mayor's Office for 2013/14.
We then run comparisons of the number of engagements held by the Mayor and Deputy Mayor.

## Section 6 -Overview \& Scrutiny

The main benchmark here is the cost per 1,000 population of Scrutiny for 2013/14.
Feedback on workloads e.g. allocation of FTE time and scrutiny effort by activity, number of requests for scrutiny, scrutiny reviews and call-ins are also provided in this section.

## PREFACE

This report compares your data with the group of authorities specified on the title page.
Throughout the report your figures are shown in both tables and in graphical form. If you are not familiar with our reports we hope this page will help you to better understand the way in which we present this data.

Averages: Almost all of our tables and charts compare your figure with a group average. The average is the unweighted mean value for the group. This average value ignores missing data, or data that we have excluded, and for this reason sets of averages sometimes do not reconcile precisely.

Charts: We display a large amount of data on charts as this allows us to show the data for the entire group efficiently and gives far more information than a simple average (i.e. range of data, individual authority values etc.). Below we have annotated an example chart to help explain what it shows.

Bar Charts: These are our standard method of displaying a full set of data

'Missing bars' on the left represent missing data or excluded data and are not included in calculating the average

| Decisions | Number | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Key Decisions |  |  |
| Member | 41 | 25 |
| Officer | 7 | 12 |
|  |  |  |
| Other Decisions |  |  |
| Member | 21 | 20 |

## SECTION 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION

## Council Members






| Council members | Number | \% | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conservative | .. | na | $28 \%$ |
| Labour | .. | na | $51 \%$ |
| Liberal Democrat | .. | na | $17 \%$ |
| All other | 125 | $100 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |  |  |

Does your authority have an elected mayor?


What type of mayor does your authority have?

|  | Authorities | Avg |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Lord mayor | $\checkmark$ | $8 \%$ |
| Civic mayor | $\mathbf{x}$ | $83 \%$ |
| Chairman | $\mathbf{x}$ | $8 \%$ |



## SECTION 2 - COMMITTEE SUPPORT

Cost per 1,000 pop.
2012/13 out-turn


Population
\#N/A

| Costs | $\boldsymbol{£}$ | $£ / \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Staff cost | 258 k | na | $£ 1.21$ |
| Printing | 102 k | na | $£ 0.18$ |
| Meetings | 38 k | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.09$ |
| IT | 7 k | na | $£ 0.04$ |
| Other costs | 5 k | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.05$ |
| Total cost | $\mathbf{4 1 0 k}$ | na | $\boldsymbol{£ 1 . 4 5}$ |



## Cost per 1,000 pop. <br> 2013/14 budget



## Population

\#N/A

| Costs | $\mathbf{£ k}$ | $£ / \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Staff cost | 322 k | na | $£ 0.94$ |
| Printing | 90 k | na | $£ 0.21$ |
| Meetings | 40 k | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.09$ |
| IT | 7 k | na | $£ 0.04$ |
| Other costs | 8 k | $£ 0.00$ | $£ 0.06$ |
| Total cost | $\mathbf{4 6 7 k}$ | na | $\mathbf{£ 1 . 3 5}$ |


| FTE information | FTE FTE/1000 | Avg |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FTE Staff | 8.8 | na | 0.02 |
| Cost per FTE | na |  | $£ 44 k$ |






## Meetings information



| Meeting type | Number | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Full Council | 9 | 7 |
| Executive/Cabinet | - | 16 |
| Regulatory | 28 | 26 |
| Quasi-judicial | 25 | 29 |
| Scrutiny | - | 33 |
| Area Committees | - | 5 |
| Other formal committees | 267 | 47 |
| Schools appeals | - | 51 |
| Corporate/Directors board | 47 | 12 |
| Shared Services Mgt Board | - | 1 |
| Police \& Crime Panel | - | 2 |
| Health \& Wellbeing | 4 | 4 |
| Other | - | 24 |
| Total meetings | na | $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ |












## Tabular meetings information

| Own Authority | Before 5pm | After 5pm | Total hours | Total Agenda pages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Council | 9 | - | 9 | 269,000 |
| Executive/Cabinet | - | - | .. | .. |
| Regulatory | 28 | - | 49 | 380,508 |
| Quasi-judicial | 25 | - | 24 | 19,600 |
| Scrutiny | - | - | .. | .. |
| Area Committees | - | - | .. | .. |
| Other formal committees | 267 | - | 382 | 1,412,594 |
| Schools appeals | - | - | .. | .. |
| Corporate Mgt/Directors Board | 47 | - | 62 | 69,760 |
| Shared Services Mgt Board | na | - | .. | .. |
| Police \& Crime Panel | na | - | .. | .. |
| Health \& Wellbeing | 4 | - | 7 | 9,640 |
| All others | - | - | .. | .. |
| Total meetings | na | - | 532 | 2,161,102 |
| Averages | Before 5pm | After 5pm | Total hours | Total Agenda pages |
| Full Council | 1 | 7 | 17 | 139,141 |
| Executive/Cabinet | 1 | 15 | 20 | 168,560 |
| Regulatory | 5 | 21 | 50 | 162,549 |
| Quasi-judicial | 17 | 12 | 58 | 145,782 |
| Scrutiny | 1 | 31 | 73 | 155,801 |
| Area Committees | 0 | 5 | 16 | 27,364 |
| Other formal committees | 26 | 22 | 70 | 187,353 |
| Schools appeals | 41 | 10 | 62 | 17,637 |
| Corporate Mgt/Directors Board | 12 | - | 30 | 25,160 |
| Shared Services Mgt Board | 1 | - | 4 | 600 |
| Police \& Crime Panel | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1,522 |
| Health \& Wellbeing | 2 | 2 | 8 | 7,870 |
| All others | 12 | 12 | 61 | 158,167 |
| Total meetings | 103 | 141 | 473 | 1,131,884 |

## Services provided by Committee Support

|  | Full Council |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Services |  | Avg |
| Attend pre-meeting | $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| Produce agendas | $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| Give procedural advice | $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| Manage public | $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| Take minutes | $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| Post meeting follow-up | $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |


| Executive |  | Regulatory |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg |  | Avg |
| $\times$ | 67\% | $\checkmark$ | 83\% |
| $\times$ | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | 100\% |
| $\times$ | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | 100\% |
| * | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | 100\% |
| $\times$ | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | 100\% |
| $\times$ | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | 92\% |


| Quasi-judicial |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Avg |  |
| $\checkmark$ | $75 \%$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $92 \%$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $92 \%$ |


| Scrutiny |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg |
| * | 67\% |
| * | 92\% |
| * | 92\% |
| * | 83\% |
| * | 92\% |
| * | 92\% |


| Area Committees |  | Schools |  | Corporate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg |  | Avg |  | Avg |
| $\times$ | 8\% | * | 42\% | $\checkmark$ | 17\% |
| $\times$ | 17\% | $x$ | 58\% | $\checkmark$ | 42\% |
| $\times$ | 17\% | * | 58\% | $\checkmark$ | 17\% |
| $\times$ | 17\% | * | 58\% | $\checkmark$ | 8\% |
| x | 17\% | * | 58\% | $\checkmark$ | 42\% |
| $\times$ | 17\% | * | 58\% | $\checkmark$ | 42\% |

## Printing

| Printing information |  | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cost of printing agendas | $£ 102 \mathrm{k}$ | $£ 45 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Agenda pages printed | $2,161,102$ | $1,131,884$ |
| Cost per agenda page | $£ 0.05$ | $£ 0.04$ |
| Cost of a standard print job | $£ 116.53$ | $£ 618.04$ |




## Distribution of Agendas



## Individual Decisions





| Decisions | Number | Avg |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Key Decisions |  |  |
| Member | - | 50 |
| Officer | 103 | 17 |
| Other Decisions |  |  |
| Member | - | 24 |

## SECTION 3 - SCHOOL APPEALS

2012/13 budget costs


| Population | \#N/A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| FTE information |  | $£ / \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | Avg |
| Staff cost | na | na | $£ 0.16$ |
| FTE Staff | .. |  | 1.3 |
| Cost per FTE | na |  | $36.2 k$ |



| Appeals | Number | \% Total | Avg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Selection reviews | .. |  | 9 |
| Other admission | .. |  | 344 |
| Exclusions | .. |  | 4 |
| Total received | .. |  | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ |
|  |  | na | $6 \%$ |
| Allowed | .. | na | $75 \%$ |
| Refused | .. | na | $20 \%$ |
| Otherwise settled | .. |  |  |


|  | Number | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No. of hours of hearings | .. | 168 |
| Appeals per hour | na | 1.9 |


6.2k

## SECTION 4 - MEMBER SUPPORT

## Cost per Member

 2012/13 out-turn

|  | Number | Avg |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Council Members | 125 | 64 |

## Population

\#N/A

| Costs | £'k $^{\prime}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \boldsymbol{£} / \\ \text { member } \end{array}$ | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff cost | 220k | £1,760 | £3,518 |
| Member training | 15k | £120 | £180 |
| IT support | 100k | £800 | £172 |
| Travel \& subsistence | Ok | £0 | £5 |
| Other costs | 2k | £16 | £84 |
| Basic Allowances | \#VALUE! | na | £10,154 |
| SRA's | \#VALUE! | na | £7,785 |
| Total cost | \#VALUE! | £2,696 | £20,374 |
| SRA's | Number |  | Avg |
| Number receiving | . | na | 54\% |
| SRA per receiver |  | na | £12,084 |

## Special Responsibility Allowances



## Support Provided to Members

|  | Elected Mayor / Council Leader |  | Civic Mayor / Chairman |  | Opposition Leader |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Executive / } \\ \text { Cabinet } \end{gathered}$ |  | Backbenchers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services |  | Avg |  | Avg |  | Avg |  | Avg |  | Avg |
| Case-work | $\times$ | 75\% | * | 42\% | * | 42\% | $\times$ | 50\% | $\times$ | 33\% |
| Diary management | $\checkmark$ | 83\% | $\checkmark$ | 83\% | * | 33\% | $\times$ | 50\% | $\times$ | 17\% |
| Handling press | $\checkmark$ | 42\% | $\checkmark$ | 42\% | $\times$ | 17\% | $\times$ | 25\% | $\checkmark$ | 25\% |
| Speech Writing | $\checkmark$ | 75\% | $\checkmark$ | 67\% | * | 25\% | * | 33\% | * | 33\% |
| Travel arrangements | $\checkmark$ | 92\% | $\checkmark$ | 92\% | $x$ | 50\% | $\times$ | 67\% | $\checkmark$ | 67\% |
| Learning \& development | $\checkmark$ | 92\% | $\checkmark$ | 75\% | $\times$ | 75\% | $\times$ | 75\% | $\checkmark$ | 83\% |
| Arrange group meetings | * | 58\% | * | 17\% | $\times$ | 58\% | * | 42\% | $x$ | 50\% |
| Produce group newsletters | $\times$ | 17\% |  | 0\% | $\times$ | 33\% | $\times$ | 8\% | $\times$ | 17\% |

## SECTION 5 - CIVIC MAYOR'S (OR EQUIVALENT) OFFICE

Cost per 1,000 pop 2013/14 estimates



## Population

\#N/A

| Costs | $\mathbf{£ k}$ | $\mathbf{£ / 1 0 0 0}$ | Avg |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Staff cost | na | na | $£ 0.35$ |
| Mayor's budget | na | na | $£ 0.05$ |
| Deputy mayor's budget | na | na | $£ 0.00$ |
| Civic events | na | na | $£ 0.01$ |
| Mayor's car | na | na | $£ 0.06$ |
| Other costs | na | na | $£ 0.02$ |
| Total cost | na | na | $\mathbf{£ 0 . 4 8}$ |


| Staff |  | Avg |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| FTE Staff | - | 1.4 |
| Cost per FTE | na | 37.8 k |







Engagements - 2012/13 municipal year



Engagements Number Avg
Mayor NA 364
Deputy Mayor
NA 63

## SECTION 6 - OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY

## Cost per 1,000 pop

## 2013/14 budget

Population \#N/A

| Costs |  | $£ / \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Staff cost | 0.0 k | na | $£ 0.57$ |
| Other scrutiny budget | .. | na | $£ 0.09$ |
| Total cost | $\mathbf{0 . 0 k}$ | na | $\mathbf{£ 0 . 6 2}$ |



## FTE Information



## Allocation of FTE by activity





| Allocation of staff time by activity | $\%$ | Avg |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Research | na | $12.7 \%$ |
| Work programme | na | $10.6 \%$ |
| Standing/statutory/public Scrutiny | na | $33.1 \%$ |
| Other Member meetings | na | $10.9 \%$ |
| Public consultation | na | $4.1 \%$ |
| Scrutiny training | na | $3.9 \%$ |
| Other meetings | na | $4.8 \%$ |
| Drafting review reports | na | $9.1 \%$ |
| Publicity | na | $4.7 \%$ |
| Misc. Scrutiny queries | na | $4.6 \%$ |
| All other | na | $2.8 \%$ |





Scrutiny function time \& effort by activity









| Time \& effort by activity | \% | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Call-ins | na | $4.8 \%$ |
| Councillor calls for action | na | $2.0 \%$ |
| Policy development | na | $17.7 \%$ |
| Pre-decision survey | na | $10.9 \%$ |
| Performance reviews | na | $10.4 \%$ |
| Service reviews | na | $20.2 \%$ |
| Annual reports | na | $6.2 \%$ |
| Work programme | na | $14.7 \%$ |
| Other | na | $13.1 \%$ |



## Sources of support to Scrutiny function






| Sources of Support | \% | Avg |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Scrutiny Officers | na | $54.0 \%$ |
| Committee Support Officers | na | $23.8 \%$ |
| Departmental Officers | na | $14.3 \%$ |
| Other Officers | na | $1.2 \%$ |
| Elected Members | na | $6.7 \%$ |



## Additional workload information

| Workloads |  | Avg |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Reports completed in 2012/13 |  |  |
| Councillor calls for action | .. | 0 |
| Requested/generated by Scrutiny Committee/Chairman | .. | 71 |
| Requested/generated by Cabinet | .. | 4 |
| Requested/generated by Council departments | .. | 23 |
| Items suggested by public \& external organisations | .. | 9 |
| Other | .. | 4 |
| Total | .. | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 9}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Scrutiny reviews |  |  |
| In-depth reviews undertaken | .. | 4 |
| Number of recommendations | .. | 38 |
| \% recommendations accepted | .. | $81 \%$ |
| \% recommendations implemented in 12 months | .. | $55 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Call-ins |  |  |
| Total call-ins | .. | 4 |
| No. referred back to decision-maker | .. | 1 |
| No. amended of those referred back | .. | 0 |

